

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
19.1 Introduction	
19.1	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Plan needs to consider the provision of cemeteries 2. Plan needs to consider additional social care/ mental health and medical facilities
19.1.2	<u>Support</u> 1. Support for the reference to the role that open spaces and sports facilities have in encouraging health and wellbeing
19.2 Open Space, Sport and Recreation	
19.2.3	<u>Support</u> 1. Support for reference to Sport England Guidance
19.2.4	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. The current evidence for sports and open spaces is out of date, the Plan may not deal appropriately with needs potentially identified in emerging evidence
19.2.8	<u>Support</u> 1. Support for the use of Community Use Agreements for the shared use of facilities
Policy CFLR 1 Open Space, Sport and Recreation	
CFLR1	<u>Support</u> 1. Support this policy

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objection to the CFLR1 designation at the Bishop's Stortford Football club grounds as the grounds are not a public open space, designation would prevent future use as employment land should the club relocate and site comprises buildings and hardstanding. To meet the criteria would prohibit relocation as alternative use would be needed to fund the relocation 2. Objection to the designation of CFLR1 at Bishop's Stortford College 3. Policy should be more flexible and include reference to shared amenity space, balconies and gardens 4. Objection to designation of land at Marsh Lane, Stanstead Abbots – private ownership, not in community use
CFLR1 Part III	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Requirement to provide such facilities may impact on viability of scheme <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amendment suggested – integration of facilities into the landscape may not in itself provide net gains to biodiversity
CFLR1 Part VI(b)	<p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amendment suggested – quantity <u>and/or</u> quality, not both – development on school playing fields may be mitigated by alternative form of provision
Policy CFLR2 Local Green Space	
CFLR2	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As Local Green Spaces have been partly designated on their wildlife value, the approach to their protection is supported, justified and sound <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Objection to the designation of CFLR2 at Bishop's Stortford College

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	3. Restrictive stance of policy will prevent land coming forward for much needed development
Policy CFLR3 Public Rights of Way	
CFLR3	<u>Support</u> 1. Support cited for this policy – seeking enhancement of the New River Cycle Route project into Hertford and Ware
CFLR4 Water Based Recreation	
CFLR4	<u>Support</u> 1. Support for this policy where it will not have an adverse impact on nature conservation interest, the character or appearance of the environment 2. The importance attributed to maintenance of ecological interests, and the approach to protection is supported, justified and sound
19.6 The Lee Valley Regional Park	
19.6.4	<u>Support</u> 1. Recognition of the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority in the Plan is welcomed <u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Reference should be to Chapter 20 Natural Environment not Chapter 19
Policy CFLR5 The Lee Valley Regional Park	
19.8.2	<u>Support</u> 1. Recognition of the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority in the Plan is welcomed <u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Should be consistency in approach between the East Herts District Plan and Epping Forest District Plan

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
Policy CFLR6 Equine Development	
CFLR6	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support the reference to equestrian facilities 2. Importance of equine developments needing to maintain and prevent harm to ecological interests is supported 3. Approach to protection of equestrian facilities is justified and sound <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policy adds no value than NPPF policy
19.8 Community Facilities	
19.8.1	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The clear definition of community facilities is welcomed
Policy CFLR7 Community Facilities	
CFLR7	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for this policy is cited 2. Support cited for this policy, particularly reference to flexible, shared use of facilities <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not all developments should be required to deliver community facilities
CFLR7 Part III(c)	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for Part III(c) is cited <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amendment suggested – integration of facilities into the landscape may not in itself provide net gains to biodiversity

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
Policy CFLR8 Loss of Community Facilities	
CFLR8	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for the protection of community facilities <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policy omits reference to viability as a reason to permit change of use
19.9 Health and Wellbeing	
19.9.9	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support the reference to Sport England Active Design Guidance
Policy CFLR9 Health and Wellbeing	
CFLR9	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support cited for this policy – web links provided for updated strategies <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Evidence should be provided to demonstrate demand for healthcare facilities <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amendment suggested to add reference to requirement to produce a health impact assessment
Policy CFLR10 Education	
CFLR10	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support cited for this policy – new schools should be designed to meet community use 2. Policy support for new schools is welcomed 3. Support cited for the requirement for new schools to be in locations which promote sustainable travel modes

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Developers should not be expected to ensure there are sufficient school places <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plan should reference explicitly that contributions will be collected through CIL or S106 to fund increase in educational demand 2. Plan should identify and safeguard land for school expansion when new schools are built 3. Production of a 'Planning for Schools Development Plan Document' would be useful
CFLR10 Part III(C)	<p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More flexible approach to playing field demand required. May be more appropriate to share the use of existing nearby facilities